| Form 9-1343 (Apr. 1967)   |   | Fo                               | r information only |
|---|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BOARD ON GEOGRAPHIC NAMES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20242 DOMESTIC GEOGRAPHIC NAME REPORT | Controversial name                      | State<br>CAT.TFORNTA             | AUG 1 4 1973       |
|   | Name change                             |                                  |                    |
|   | Changed application  X Other - Spelling | County<br>HUMBOLDT               |                    |
| Lat. 10 0 09 25.0475 Long. 1210 07  |   | Mouth End Cent                   | er (Circle one)    |
| Lat°, N, Long°  |   | Heading End                      | (Circle one)       |
| Description of feature: where appropriate, giv  | e shape, length, width, d               | direction of flow or trend, dire | ction and distance |

of extremities from points with established names, and section, township, range, meridian where useful, also elevation

This is the highest peak on the Pacific Slope of the Coast Range of California. The peak is three miles north east of Big Flat and 10 miles north, north east west of Boint Delgada, in Section 36, Township 3 South, Range 1 West of the Humboldt Base and Meridian, the elevation is 4088 ft. 1: highest point of King Range.

| Published Maps Using Recommended Name (Map name, date, agency, & scale) | Variant Name or Application | Map or Source Using Variant (Map name, date, agency, & scale) |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Point Delgada 15' 1964  | Kings Peak                  | AMS 1;250,000 Eureka, 1967<br>County Map 1949                 |
| Honeydew, 1:24 000, 1970  |                             | ·   |
| ,   |                             |   |
|   | Shown but not named         | State Base 1970   |

Available information as to origin, spelling, and meaning of the recommended name and/or statement concerning nature of difference in usage or application:

Most persons contacted called the feature King Peak, a few called it Kings Peak, further questioning of these people brought out the fact that they really had no preference between King or Kings, the older residents of the area call this King Peak, and say that it has always been King and not Kings, they say the Peak was named King because of its prominence and therefore Kings would not apply as it would denote ownership by or association with a person.

| - close who propared this copy it other than | CHIEF, MAP EDITING SECTION    | ·                  | $\dashv$ |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Person who prepared this copy if other than  |                               | Date               |          |
| Agency USGS                                  | Address345 Middlefield Rd.    | Menlo Park, Calif. | 94       |
| Submitted by:<br>Name P S Meglasson          | Title ĆET                     | Date 12-12-69      |          |
| Phil Branstetter                             | Box 54 Honeydew, Calif. 95545 | Rancher            |          |
| Paul Smith                                   | Box 91 Honeydew, Calif. 95545 | Rancher            |          |
| Harold Lawerence                             | Petrolia, Calif. 95558        | Rancher            | _        |
| Kenneth Roscoe                               | Petrolia, Calif. 95558        | Rancher            |          |
| AUTHORITY FOR RECOMMENDED NAME               | MAILING ADDRESS               | OCCUPATION         |          |

Title

PACIFIC REGION

## INSTRUCTIONS

This form should be used to provide supporting information on domestic geographic name problems. A full statement covering the field investigation of each name is necessary in order that adequate evidence may be submitted in proper form to the Board on Geographic Names for decision. Names should be reported if they fall in one of the following categories:

- 1. Names that have more than one local spelling.
- 2. Names where local spelling differs from that shown on previously published maps or reports.
- 3. Conflicting name usage due to change in property ownership.
- 4. Conflicting published names or application.
- 5. New or proposed name for features previously unnamed. (Use BGN Form 9-1344.)

Established names in local use, even if previously unpublished, are not considered new names. If spelling, application, and extent of use are undisputed, a name may be accepted for publication without submitting it to the Board on Geographic Names for approval.

This form is furnished in triplicate, Geological Survey offices should submit first two copies through appropriate channels to Geographic Names Section, Topographic Division. Other Government agencies submit in accordance with established agency procedures.

Private individuals or non-Federal agencies, submit original only to Executive Secretary for Domestic Geographic Names, U.S. Geological Survey, Room 1040 GS Building, Washington, D.C. 20242.